ω -2 θ scans Absorption correction: empirical via ψ scan (*TEXSAN*; Molecular Structure Corporation, 1985) $T_{min} = 0.98$, $T_{max} = 1.00$ 1342 measured reflections 1206 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.08$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.15 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ R(F) = 0.037 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.19 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $wR(F^2) = 0.036$ Extinction correction: none S = 1.41810 reflections Atomic scattering factors 144 parameters from International Tables H atoms: see below for X-ray Crystallography $w = 4F_o/\sigma^2(F_o^2)$ (1974, Vol. IV)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.010$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 25^{\circ}$

 $h = 0 \rightarrow 6$

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 14$

 $l = -11 \rightarrow 10$

3 standard reflections

frequency: 150 min

intensity decay: 3.30%

$B_{\rm eq} = (4/3) \sum_i \sum_j \beta_{ij} \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$

	х	У	z	B_{eq}
F(1)	0.3527 (4)	0.1014 (2)	0.5002 (2)	5.66 (9)
F(2)	-0.0836 (4)	0.0894 (2)	0.3889 (2)	5.68 (8)
F(3)	0.6672 (3)	0.1583 (2)	0.0566 (2)	5.17 (7)
F(4)	0.2411 (4)	0.1479 (2)	-0.0702 (2)	4.92 (7)
O(1)	0.2350 (5)	-0.0901 (2)	0.3333 (2)	5.5(1)
N(1)	0.3196 (6)	0.3444 (2)	0.1012 (3)	5.1(1)
C(2)	0.2372 (8)	0.4329 (3)	0.1742 (4)	6.1 (2)
C(3)	0.1342 (9)	0.4242 (3)	0.3094 (4)	6.5(2)
C(4)	0.1194 (7)	0.3186 (3)	0.3716(3)	5.6(2)
C(5)	0.1865 (6)	0.1068 (3)	0.3598 (3)	4.3(1)
C(6)	0.2674 (6)	0.0108 (3)	0.2663 (3)	4.1(1)
C(7)	0.3579 (6)	0.0281 (3)	0.1364 (3)	4.1(1)
C(8)	0.3886 (6)	0.1429 (3)	0.0751 (3)	3.8(1)
C(9)	0.3019 (6)	0.2419 (3)	0.1629(3)	3.9(1)
C(10)	0.2030 (6)	0.2242 (3)	0.2970 (3)	3.9(1)
C(11)	0.307(1)	-0.1896(3)	0.2560 (4)	7.0(2)

Table 2. Geometric parameters (Å, °)

N(1)—C(2)	1.323 (4)	C(8)—C(9)	1.501 (4)
N(1)—C(9)	1.332 (4)	C(9)—C(10)	1.382 (4)
C(2)—C(3)	1.389 (5)	C(6)—O(1)	1.348 (4)
C(3)—C(4)	1.366 (5)	O(1)—C(11)	1.430 (4)
C(4)—C(10)	1.387 (4)	C(5) - F(1)	1.375 (3)
C(5) - C(10)	1.495 (4)	C(5)—F(2)	1.372 (3)
C(5)—C(6)	1.494 (4)	C(8)—F(3)	1.378 (3)
C(6)—C(7)	1.327 (4)	C(8)—F(4)	1.378 (3)
C(7)—C(8)	1.471 (4)		
C(2)—N(1)—C(9)	117.1 (3)	C(8)—C(9)—C(10)	120.5 (3)
N(1) - C(2) - C(3)	123.7 (3)	C(5)—C(10)—C(9)	121.0(2)
C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	118.4 (3)	C(6)—C(5)—C(10)	116.5 (2)
C(3) - C(4) - C(10)	119.1 (3)	C(5)—C(6)—O(1)	110.5 (2)
C(4)—C(10)—C(9)	118.0(2)	C(7)—C(6)—O(1)	127.3 (3)
N(1)—C(9)—C(10)	123.6(2)	C(6)O(1)C(11)	116.4 (2)
C(5) - C(6) - C(7)	122.2 (3)	F(1)-C(5)-F(2)	103.5 (2)
C(6)—C(7)—C(8)	122.5 (3)	F(3) - C(8) - F(4)	103.3 (2)
C(7) - C(8) - C(9)	117.2 (2)		

The title structure was solved by direct methods. One of the H atoms of the CH_3 group was found in a difference Fourier map, while the others were assigned based on the expected bonding geometry. All of the H atoms were included in the final cycle of the refinement. Calculations were performed on a VAX station 3200.

TEXSAN (Molecular Structure: Control Software: Data reduction. *TEXSAN* (Molecular Structure: Corporation, 1985). Program(s) used to solve structure: *MITHRIL* (Gilmore, 1984). Program(s) used to refine structure: *TEXSAN*. Molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: *TEXSAN*.

Lists of structure factors, least-squares-planes data, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: KH1097). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

Gilmore, C. J. (1984). J. Appl. Cryst. 17, 42-46.

- Jeffrey, G. A., Buschmann, J., Lehmann, C. W. & Luger, P. (1988). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110, 7218–7219, and references therein.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1985). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1993). MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Momota, K., Morita, M. & Matsuda, Y. (1993). Electrochim. Acta, 38, 1123-1130.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2837-2839

A Highly Strained Tertiary Acetate: 2,2'-Spirobiadamant-1-yl Acetate

JACQUELINE VAISSERMANN^a AND JOHN S. LOMAS^b

^{*a*}Chimie des Métaux de Transition, CNRS-URA 419, Université de Paris 6, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris CEDEX 05, France, and ^bInstitut de Topologie et de Dynamique des Systèmes, CNRS-URA 34, Université de Paris 7, 1 rue Guy de la Brosse, 75005 Paris, France. E-mail: lomas@itodys.jussieu.fr

(Received 9 May 1996; accepted 7 June 1996)

Abstract

The four C—C bonds to the spiro-C atom in the title compound, 2,2'-spirobitricyclo[3.3.1.1^{3,7}]dec-1-yl acetate, $C_{21}H_{30}O_2$, are all unusually long and the corresponding bond angles are either substantially smaller (intracyclic) or larger (exocyclic) than usual.

Comment

The 1-substituted tertiary derivatives of 2,2'-spirobiadamantane (Boelema, Strating & Wynberg, 1972; Graham & Schleyer, 1972), usually referred to as [1]diadamantane (Graham, Schleyer, Hageman & Wenkert, 1973), are atypical in that they are thermodynamically less stable than the corresponding 4-substituted secondary derivatives (Lomas, Cordier & Briand, 1996; Lomas, Cordier, Briand & Vaissermann, 1996). The abnormally high solvolysis rate of 1-chloro[1]diadamantane is attributed to steric strain between the substituent and the closest methylene H atoms of the non-substituted adamantyl unit. 1-Halo[1]diadamantanes are too reactive for X-ray study but the acetate, (I), is expected to show much the same structural features.



The torsion angle which positions atom C(20) relative to C(2) is nearly 180° [177.1 (2)°], while that for O(2) relative to C(1) is not far from 0° [-1.4(2)°]. This means that the carbonyl O(2) atom is close to and approximately equidistant from atoms C(8) and C(9), while the methyl group is oriented away from the rest of the molecule. The distances between the O(2) atom and the nearest H atoms at these atoms are short but quite different [2.36 (3) and 2.51 (3) Å] because of the slight twist in the acetoxy group and deformation of the methylene groups. The other O atom, O(1), is, as expected, at a very short distance from the closest H atoms at C(16) and C(18) [2.26(3) and 2.29(3) Å], despite the marked elongation of the C(1)—C(2) bond [1.580(3)Å].



Fig. 1. CAMERON (Pearce, Watkin & Prout, 1992) diagram of the molecular structure of the title compound showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

As in the previously studied anti-4-bromo[1]diadamantane (Lomas, Cordier, Briand & Vaissermann, 1996), there is considerable distortion about the spiro-C(2) atom. The intracyclic C—C—C angles at C(2)are small, that for the substituted adamantyl unit being smaller than that for the non-substituted unit. The exocyclic bond angles at C(2) are large for the carbon which bears the substituent, C(1) [114.4(2)°], but almost normal for C(3). All C—C bonds to C(2) are substantially longer than usual (average 1.571 Å), with those for the substituted adamantyl unit significantly longer than the others.

The X-ray crystal structure of only one other [1]diadamantane derivative, 5,5',7,7'-tetrabromo[1]diadamantane, has been determined (Sosnowski, Rheingold & Murray, 1985), but no details of the geometry were published.

Experimental

The title acetate was prepared by refluxing 1-hydroxy[1]diadamantane with acetic anhydride in acetic acid (Lomas, Cordier & Briand, 1996). Recrystallization was from hexane (m.p. 400 K).

Crystal data

S = 3.55

$C_{21}H_{30}O_2$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 314.47$	$\lambda = 0.71076 \text{ Å}$
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 25
$P2_1/c$	reflections
a = 7.267 (2) Å	$\theta = 11.0 - 11.5^{\circ}$
b = 17.837(7) Å	$\mu = 0.073 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 12.975(7) Å	T = 293 K
$\beta = 98.78(3)^{\circ}$	Prism
$V = 1662 \ 1 \ (1 \ 2) \ \text{Å}^3$	$0.65 \times 0.32 \times 0.20$ mm
Z = 4	Colourless
$D_r = 1.26 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	
$D_{\rm m}$ not measured	
Data collection	
Enraf–Nonius CAD-4	$R_{int} = 0.0314$
diffractometer	$\theta_{max} = 25^{\circ}$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$h = 0 \rightarrow 8$
Absorption correction:	$k = 0 \rightarrow 21$
none	$l = -15 \rightarrow 15$
3290 measured reflections	2 standard reflections
2931 independent reflections	frequency: 60 min
1972 observed reflections	intensity decay: <1%
$[F^2 > 3\sigma(F)^2]$	
Refinement	

Refinement on F $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.80 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.61 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ R = 0.0422wR = 0.0405Extinction correction: Larson (1970) 1972 reflections Extinction coefficient: 300 parameters 167 (10)

Only coordinates of H atoms refined and one overall U_{iso} parameter Unit weights applied $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.41$

 Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

Atomic scattering factors

(1974, Vol. IV)

from International Tables

for X-ray Crystallography

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$$

	х	У	z	U_{eq}
O(1)	0.0921 (2)	0.6358(1)	0.8014(1)	0.0383
O(2)	0.3032(3)	0.7306(1)	0.8220 (2)	0.0598
C(1)	-0.0274(3)	0.6624(1)	0.7066 (2)	0.0344
C(2)	-0.1791 (3)	0.5997(1)	0.6753 (2)	0.0301
C(3)	-0.2996 (4)	0.6335 (2)	0.5748 (2)	0.0386
C(4)	-0.3905 (4)	0.7077 (2)	0.5971 (2)	0.0455
C(5)	-0.2397 (4)	0.7658 (2)	0.6322 (2)	0.0475
C(6)	-0.1220 (4)	0.7775 (2)	0.5459 (2)	0.0483
C(7)	-0.0329 (4)	0.7034 (2)	().5216 (2)	0.0439
C(8)	0.0893 (4)	0.6748 (2)	0.6194 (2)	0.0393
C(9)	-0.1173 (4)	0.7366 (1)	0.7301 (2)	0.0399
C(10)	-0.1845 (4)	0.6459 (2)	0.4870(2)	0.0427
C(11)	-0.3057 (3)	0.5833(1)	0.7600 (2)	0.0359
C(12)	-0.4675 (4)	0.5317 (2)	0.7140(2)	0.0434
C(13)	0.3919 (4)	0.4572 (2)	0.6814 (2)	0.0474
C(14)	-0.2886 (4)	0.4181 (2)	0.7778 (3)	0.0492
C(15)	-0.1273 (4)	0.4682 (2)	0.8270 (2)	0.0445
C(16)	0.0034 (4)	0.4813 (2)	0.7464 (2)	0.0416
C(17)	-0.0982 (4)	0.5217(1)	0.6502 (2)	0.0381
C(18)	-0.2033 (4)	0.5434 (2)	0.8569 (2)	0.0381
C(19)	-0.2580 (4)	0.4691 (2)	0.6026 (2)	0.0456
C(20)	0.2436 (4)	0.6725 (2)	0.8500 (2)	0.0426
C(21)	0.3257 (5)	0.6300(2)	0.9430(2)	0.0520

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

C(1)—C(2)	1.580 (3)	C(2)—C(11)	1.565 (3)
C(2)—C(3)	1.575 (3)	C(2)—C(17)	1.564 (3)
C(1)— $C(2)$ — $C(3)$	102.7 (2)	C(1)—C(2)—C(17)	114.4 (2)
C(1)— $C(2)$ — $C(11)$	114.4 (2)	C(3)—C(2)—C(17)	110.3 (2)
C(3)— $C(2)$ — $C(11)$	109.9 (2)	C(11)—C(2)—C(17)	105.1 (2)
C(2)—C(1)- C(1)—O(1)- C(1)—O(1)-	O(1)C(20) C(20)O(2) C(20)C(21)	177.1 (2) 	

Table 3. Contact distances (Å)

$O(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot H(161)$	2.26 (3)	$O(2) \cdot \cdot \cdot H(82)$	2.36(3)
$O(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot H(182)$	2.29 (3)	O(2)···H(92)	2.51 (3)

H atoms were located by means of a Fourier difference map. Data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989).

Cell refinement: CAD-4 Software. Data reduction: CRYSTALS (Watkin, Carruthers & Betteridge, 1988). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: CRYSTALS. Molecular graphics: CAMERON (Pearce, Watkin & Prout, 1992). Software used to prepare material for publication: CRYSTALS.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: PA1240). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

Boelema, E., Strating, J. & Wynberg, H. (1972). *Tetrahedron Lett.* pp. 1175–1178.

© 1996 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.

- Graham, W. D. & Schleyer, P. von R. (1972). Tetrahedron Lett. pp. 1179–1180.
- Graham, W. D., Schleyer, P. von R., Hageman, E. W. & Wenkert, E. (1973). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 5785–5786.
- Larson, A. C. (1970). Crystallographic Computing, edited by F. R. Ahmed, S. R. Hall & C. P. Huber, pp. 291–294. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Lomas, J. S., Cordier, C. & Briand, S. (1996). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 865–870.
- Lomas, J. S., Cordier, C., Briand, S. & Vaissermann, J. (1996). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 871–876.
- Pearce, L., Watkin, D. J. & Prout, C. K. (1992). CAMERON. Program for Plotting Molecular Structures. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, University of Oxford, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sosnowski, J. J., Rheingold, A. L. & Murray, R. K. (1985). J. Org. Chem. 50, 3788–3791.
- Watkin, D. J., Carruthers, J. R. & Betteridge, P. W. (1988). CRYSTALS User Guide. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, University of Oxford, England.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2839-2841

2,6-Dibenzoylhydroquinone

KUMAR BIRADHA,^{*a*} GAUTAM R. DESIRAJU,^{*a**} H. L. CARRELL^{*b*} AND A. K. KATZ^{*b*}

^aSchool of Chemistry, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad 500 046, India, and ^bThe Institute for Cancer Research, Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA 19111, USA. E-mail: grdch@uohyd.ernet.in

(Received 13 March 1996; accepted 7 June 1996)

Abstract

The title compound, $C_{20}H_{14}O_4$, forms an infinite network of O—H···O and C—H···O hydrogen bonds. The phenyl rings of the benzoyl groups are non-coplanar with the hydroquinone moiety.

Comment

In the crystal structure of the title compound, (I), $O - H \cdots O$ and $C - H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds (Desiraju, 1991) are found to be important.



Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 © 1996